organic compounds

 $0.25 \times 0.18 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$ 

8632 measured reflections 2981 independent reflections 1704 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.026$ 

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# (E)-2-(2-Fluorobenzylidene)butanoic acid

### Muhammad Niaz,<sup>a</sup> M. Nawaz Tahir,<sup>b</sup>\* Zia-ur-Rehman,<sup>a</sup> Sagib Ali<sup>a</sup> and Islam Ullah Khan<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan, <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, University of Sargodha, Sagrodha, Pakistan, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Government College University, Lahore, Pakistan Correspondence e-mail: dmntahir\_uos@yahoo.com

Received 16 January 2008; accepted 15 March 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.050; wR factor = 0.165; data-to-parameter ratio = 22.8.

In the crystal structure of the title compound,  $C_{11}H_{11}FO_2$ , the methine CH forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond with the carboxyl O atom. The molecules form dimers through hydrogen bonding between carboxyl groups. These dimers are linked to each other by  $C-H \cdots O$  contacts between the benzene and carbonyl groups of adjoining molecules. In addition, there are weak intermolecular  $C-H \cdots F$  contacts.

#### **Related literature**

For related literature, see: Burns & Hagaman (1993); Burt (2004); Forgó et al. (2005); Hertog et al. (1995); Muhammad et al. (2007). For details of the Cambridge Structural Database, see: Allen (2002).



#### **Experimental**

#### Crystal data

C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>11</sub>FO<sub>2</sub>  $M_r = 194.20$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ a = 4.1895 (4) Åb = 17.4362 (19) Å c = 13.8134 (15) Å $\beta = 96.719 \ (3)^{\circ}$  $V = 1002.12 (18) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation radiation

$\mu =$	0.10	$\text{mm}^{-1}$
T =	296 (	2) K

#### Data collection

Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2005)
T = 0.935 $T = 0.958$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$ wR(F <sup>2</sup> ) = 0.164	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained
S = 1.04	refinement
2981 reflections 131 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.41 \text{ e A}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = -0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
r	

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1-H1\cdots O2^i$	0.97 (2)	1.66 (2)	2.6325 (18)	177.7 (12)
C3−H3···O1	0.93	2.32	2.713 (2)	105
C6-H6···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.93	2.53	3.421 (2)	160
C8−H8···F1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.93	2.55	3.266 (2)	134 (1)
Symmetry codes: (i)	-x+2, -y, -z	z; (ii) $-x + 1, y - 2$	$+\frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2};$ (iii) x, -	$-y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}.$

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: APEX2; data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 2007); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997) and PLATON (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999) and PLATON.

The authors acknowledge the Higher Education Commision, Islamabad, Pakistan, for funding the purchase of the diffractometer and Bana International, Karachi, Pakistan, for technical support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BV2091).

#### References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Bruker (2005). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2007). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Burns, J. H. & Hagaman, E. W. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1393-1396.
- Burt, S. (2004). Int. J. Food Microbiol. 94, 223-253.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Forgó, P., Felföldi, K. & Pálinkó, I. (2005). J. Mol. Struct. 744-747, 273-276.
- Hertog, M. G., Kromhout, D., Aravanis, C., Blackburn, H., Buzina, R., Fidanza, F., Giampaoli, S., Jansen, A., Menotti, A. & Nedeljkovic, S. (1995). Arch. Intern. Med. 155, 381-386.
- Muhammad, N., Zia-ur-Rehman., Ali, S. & Meetsma, A. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, o2174-o2175.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, 0733 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808007149]

## (E)-2-(2-Fluorobenzylidene)butanoic acid

### M. Niaz, M. N. Tahir, Zia-ur-Rehman, S. Ali and I. U. Khan

#### Comment

Cinnamic acid derivatives are well known for their antibacterial, antifungal antiparasitic (Burt, 2004), and antitumor activity (Hertog *et al.*, 1995). They are also used in the shikmic acid metabolic pathways of higher plants (Forgó *et al.*, 2005).

In the structure of the title compound (I), there are two C-atoms between the carboxylate and 2-fluorophenyl C-atoms. A search of CCDC (Allen, 2002) shows that a structure of 2-amino-2-(2-fluorophenyl)acetic acid (Burns *et al.*, 1993) has been published in which there is only a single C-atom between carboxylate and phenyl ring. Moreover, there is no structure of this kind with a different position for the F-atom.

The C1=O2 bond distance [1.2301 (18) Å], is significantly shorter than the C1—O1 distance [1.3006 (18) Å]. The C1—O1 bond lengthened due to the formation of intramolecular and intermolecular H-bonds. The value of C2=C3 is 1.334 (2) Å. The phenyl ring bond distances are in the normal range but the C4—C5—C6 bond angle is 124.27 (18)°, due to the influence of the F substituent attached to C5. The dihedral angle between the planes formed by (O1, C1, and O2) and (C2, C10, and C11) is 80.97 (18)°, and the dihedral angles between these planes and the phenyl ring are 52.88 (10)° and 67.17 (15)° respectively. The molecules are stabilized by intramolecular and intermolecular H-bonds. The title compound forms dimers through H-bonding, O1—H1···O2<sup>i</sup> [symmetry code i = -x + 2, -y, -z] as shown in Fig 2. These dimers are linked to each other through a C6—H6···O2<sup>ii</sup> interaction [symmetry code ii = -x + 1, y + 1/2, -z + 1/2]. Details of the H-bonding are given in Table 1. In addition there is a weak C8—H8···F1<sup>iii</sup> intermolecular interaction [symmetry code iii = x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z] with a distance 3.2658 (25) Å between C8 and F1<sup>iii</sup>.

#### Experimental

Compound (I) was synthesized as reported earlier (Niaz, *et al.*, 2007). A mixture of 2-fluorobenzaldehyde (1.05 ml, 10 mmol), ethylmalonic acid (2.64 g, 20 mmol) and piperidine (1.98 ml, 20 mmol) in a pyridine (12.5 ml) solution was heated on a steam-bath for 24 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and added to a mixture of 25 ml of concentrated HCl and 50 g of ice. The precipitate formed in the acidified mixture was filtered off and washed with ice-cold water. The product was recrystallized from ethanol. The yield was 65%, m.p. 94 °C.

#### **Figures**



Fig. 1. *ORTEP* drawing of the title compound,  $C_{11}H_{11}F_1O_2$  with the atom numbering scheme. The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H-atoms are shown by small circles of arbitrary radii.



Fig. 2. The packing figure (*PLATON*: Spek, 2003) which shows the dimeric nature of the compound owing to inter molecular hydrogen bonding and also showing a link between dimers.

 $F_{000} = 408$ 

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.287 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation radiation

Cell parameters from 2981 reflections

 $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ 

 $\theta = 2.3-30.6^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 296 (2) KPrismatic, colourless  $0.25 \times 0.18 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$ 

### (E)-2-(2-Fluorobenzylidene)butanoic acid

Crystal data
$C_{11}H_{11}F_1O_2$
$M_r = 194.20$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc
a = 4.1895 (4)  Å
b = 17.4362 (19)  Å
c = 13.8134 (15)  Å
$\beta = 96.719 \ (3)^{\circ}$
$V = 1002.12 (18) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4

#### Data collection

Bruker KappaAPEXII CCD diffractometer	2981 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1704 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.026$
Detector resolution: 7.2 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30.6^{\circ}$
T = 296(2)  K	$\theta_{\min} = 2.3^{\circ}$
ω scans	$h = -5 \rightarrow 6$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2005)	$k = -24 \rightarrow 23$
$T_{\min} = 0.935, T_{\max} = 0.958$	$l = -19 \rightarrow 19$
8632 measured reflections	

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.164$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0731P)^2 + 0.1527P]$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.04	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$
2981 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.41 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

131 parameters

 $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.21 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct Extinction correction: none methods

#### Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Z	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
F1	0.5705 (5)	0.28288 (7)	0.22076 (10)	0.1113 (6)
01	1.0669 (3)	0.08331 (7)	0.07503 (9)	0.0575 (4)
H1	1.104 (5)	0.0617 (11)	0.0126 (15)	0.069*
02	0.8191 (3)	-0.02692 (7)	0.09434 (9)	0.0609 (4)
C1	0.8986 (4)	0.03770 (8)	0.12367 (11)	0.0406 (4)
C2	0.8045 (3)	0.06721 (8)	0.21699 (11)	0.0394 (4)
C3	0.8530 (4)	0.14131 (9)	0.23791 (12)	0.0443 (4)
Н3	0.9555	0.1699	0.1938	0.053*
C4	0.7616 (4)	0.18258 (9)	0.32326 (11)	0.0449 (4)
C5	0.6226 (5)	0.25413 (10)	0.31195 (13)	0.0593 (5)
C6	0.5260 (6)	0.29671 (11)	0.38672 (16)	0.0705 (6)
H6	0.4286	0.3443	0.3749	0.085*
C7	0.5763 (6)	0.26755 (11)	0.47922 (15)	0.0659 (6)
H7	0.5120	0.2953	0.5311	0.079*
C8	0.7214 (5)	0.19750 (12)	0.49543 (14)	0.0691 (6)
H8	0.7586	0.1781	0.5585	0.083*
С9	0.8126 (5)	0.15554 (11)	0.41831 (13)	0.0601 (5)
Н9	0.9105	0.1081	0.4304	0.072*
C10	0.6348 (4)	0.01081 (9)	0.27580 (12)	0.0458 (4)
H10A	0.4779	-0.0170	0.2319	0.055*
H10B	0.5185	0.0392	0.3209	0.055*
C11	0.8524 (5)	-0.04706 (11)	0.33354 (14)	0.0614 (5)
H11A	0.7248	-0.0808	0.3682	0.092*
H11B	1.0041	-0.0205	0.3791	0.092*
H11C	0.9657	-0.0764	0.2897	0.092*
Atomic displacer	nent parameters $(Å^2)$			
	$U^{11}$ $U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

 $U^{23}$ 

# supplementary materials

F1	0.2170 (19)	0.0646 (8)	0.0569 (8)	0.0505 (10)	0.0350 (9)	0.0161 (6)
01	0.0854 (9)	0.0450 (7)	0.0468 (7)	-0.0124 (6)	0.0275 (6)	-0.0072 (5)
O2	0.0912 (10)	0.0434 (7)	0.0528 (7)	-0.0149 (6)	0.0288 (7)	-0.0118 (5)
C1	0.0485 (8)	0.0360 (8)	0.0382 (8)	0.0015 (6)	0.0091 (7)	0.0007 (6)
C2	0.0426 (8)	0.0401 (8)	0.0357 (7)	0.0046 (6)	0.0061 (6)	0.0003 (6)
C3	0.0549 (9)	0.0404 (8)	0.0382 (8)	0.0029 (6)	0.0085 (7)	-0.0005 (6)
C4	0.0575 (10)	0.0386 (8)	0.0393 (8)	0.0012 (7)	0.0088 (7)	-0.0041 (6)
C5	0.0947 (14)	0.0413 (9)	0.0438 (10)	0.0086 (9)	0.0156 (9)	0.0029 (7)
C6	0.1047 (17)	0.0438 (10)	0.0653 (13)	0.0165 (10)	0.0194 (12)	-0.0084 (9)
C7	0.0898 (15)	0.0588 (12)	0.0521 (11)	0.0015 (10)	0.0203 (10)	-0.0183 (9)
C8	0.0999 (16)	0.0685 (13)	0.0391 (9)	0.0122 (11)	0.0095 (10)	-0.0042 (9)
C9	0.0866 (14)	0.0525 (10)	0.0406 (9)	0.0170 (9)	0.0047 (9)	-0.0027 (8)
C10	0.0478 (9)	0.0460 (9)	0.0456 (9)	-0.0026 (7)	0.0136 (7)	-0.0018 (7)
C11	0.0694 (12)	0.0513 (10)	0.0654 (12)	-0.0014 (8)	0.0163 (10)	0.0179 (9)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

F1—C5	1.350 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9300
O1—C1	1.3006 (18)	С7—С8	1.371 (3)
O1—H1	0.97 (2)	С7—Н7	0.9300
O2—C1	1.2301 (18)	C8—C9	1.383 (2)
C1—C2	1.483 (2)	С8—Н8	0.9300
C2—C3	1.334 (2)	С9—Н9	0.9300
C2—C10	1.506 (2)	C10—C11	1.521 (2)
C3—C4	1.470 (2)	C10—H10A	0.9700
С3—Н3	0.9300	C10—H10B	0.9700
C4—C5	1.378 (2)	C11—H11A	0.9600
C4—C9	1.388 (2)	C11—H11B	0.9600
C5—C6	1.371 (3)	C11—H11C	0.9600
C6—C7	1.368 (3)		
C1—O1—H1	112.0 (12)	С6—С7—Н7	120.0
O2—C1—O1	122.15 (14)	С8—С7—Н7	120.0
O2—C1—C2	120.97 (14)	С7—С8—С9	120.19 (18)
O1—C1—C2	116.88 (13)	С7—С8—Н8	119.9
C3—C2—C1	118.35 (14)	С9—С8—Н8	119.9
C3—C2—C10	125.80 (14)	C8—C9—C4	121.56 (17)
C1—C2—C10	115.63 (13)	С8—С9—Н9	119.2
C2—C3—C4	126.91 (15)	С4—С9—Н9	119.2
С2—С3—Н3	116.5	C2-C10-C11	115.09 (14)
С4—С3—Н3	116.5	C2-C10-H10A	108.5
C5—C4—C9	115.53 (15)	C11-C10-H10A	108.5
C5—C4—C3	119.92 (15)	C2-C10-H10B	108.5
C9—C4—C3	124.53 (14)	C11—C10—H10B	108.5
F1—C5—C6	118.18 (17)	H10A—C10—H10B	107.5
F1—C5—C4	117.52 (16)	C10-C11-H11A	109.5
C6—C5—C4	124.27 (18)	C10-C11-H11B	109.5
C7—C6—C5	118.38 (18)	H11A—C11—H11B	109.5
С7—С6—Н6	120.8	C10-C11-H11C	109.5
С5—С6—Н6	120.8	H11A—C11—H11C	109.5

C6—C7—C8	120.03 (18)	H11B—C11—H11C	109.5
O2—C1—C2—C3	-169.70 (16)	C3—C4—C5—C6	179.3 (2)
O1—C1—C2—C3	10.1 (2)	F1—C5—C6—C7	179.6 (2)
O2—C1—C2—C10	5.2 (2)	C4—C5—C6—C7	1.5 (4)
O1—C1—C2—C10	-174.93 (14)	C5—C6—C7—C8	0.3 (4)
C1—C2—C3—C4	176.46 (15)	C6—C7—C8—C9	-1.0 (4)
C10—C2—C3—C4	2.1 (3)	C7—C8—C9—C4	0.1 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-136.30 (19)	C5—C4—C9—C8	1.5 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C9	45.5 (3)	C3—C4—C9—C8	179.80 (19)
C9—C4—C5—F1	179.57 (19)	C3-C2-C10-C11	-106.93 (19)
C3—C4—C5—F1	1.2 (3)	C1—C2—C10—C11	78.57 (19)
C9—C4—C5—C6	-2.4 (3)		

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H··· $A$	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
O1—H1···O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.97 (2)	1.66 (2)	2.6325 (18)	177.7 (12)
С3—Н3…О1	0.93	2.32	2.713 (2)	105
C6—H6···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.93	2.53	3.421 (2)	160
C8—H8…F1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.93	2.55	3.266 (2)	134 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+2, -y, -z; (ii) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (iii) x, -y+1/2, z+1/2.

Fig. 1





Fig. 2